



Democracy Services
London Borough of Merton
Merton Civic Centre
London Road
Morden SM4 5DX

Direct Line: 0208 545 3356/3357
Email: democratic.services@merton.gov.uk

Date: 15 October 2018

Dear Councillor

**Notification of a Decision taken by the Cabinet Member for
Regeneration, Environment and Housing**

The attached non-key decision has been taken by the Cabinet Member for
Regeneration, Environment and Housing, with regards to:

**) Proposed GC2 CPZ extension Framfield Road –Statutory
consultation.**

and will be implemented at **noon on Thursday 18 October 2018** unless a
call-in request is received.

The [call-in](#) form is attached for your use if needed and refers to the relevant
sections of the constitution.

Yours sincerely

Lisa Jewell
Democracy Services

NON-KEY DECISION TAKEN BY A CABINET MEMBER UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY

See over for instructions on how to use this form – all parts of this form must be completed. Type all information in the boxes. The boxes will expand to accommodate extra lines where needed.

1. Title of report

Proposed GC2 CPZ extension. Framfield Road – formal consultation

2. Reason for exemption (if any)

3. Decision maker

Councillor Martin Whelton, cabinet Member for regeneration, housing and transport

4. Date of Decision

12 October 2018

5. Date report made available to decision maker

11 October 2018

6. Decision

- A) Notes the result of the statutory consultation carried out between 6 and 28 September 2018 on the proposals to introduce a Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) to extend the existing GC2 to include Framfield Road.
- B) Notes and considers the representations received in respect of the proposals as detailed in Appendix 2.
- C) Agrees to proceed with making of the Traffic Management Orders (TMOs) and the inclusion of Framfield Road in existing CPZ GC2 that operates Monday to Friday between 8.30am and 6.30pm as shown in Drawing No. Z78-346-03 and attached in Appendix 1.
- D) Agrees to proceed with the making of the relevant Traffic Management Orders (TMOs) and the implementation of the 'At any time' waiting restrictions as shown in Drawing No. Z78-346-03 and attached in Appendix 1.
- E) Agrees to exercise his discretion not to hold a public inquiry on the consultation process.

7. Reason for decision

1) Support shown by local residents for controlled parking to be introduced on Framfield Road

- 2) To allow residents' living on the road more access to off-street parking
3) To improve road safety on the road by the introduction of 'at any time' waiting restrictions

8. Alternative options considered and why rejected

8.1 Do nothing. This would not address the current parking demands of the residents in respect of their views expressed in the petition and during the consultation. It will also do nothing to ensure that safety and access can be maintained all times.

9. Documents relied on in addition to officer report

None

10. Declarations of Interest

None



Councillor Martin Whelton

Cabinet member for regeneration, housing and transport

12 October 2018

Committee: Cabinet Member Report

Date: 08 October 2018

Agenda item: N/A

Wards: Figge's Marsh.

Subject: Proposed GC2 CPZ extension Framfield Road –Statutory consultation.

Lead officer: Chris Lee, Director of Environment & Regeneration.

Lead member: Councillor Martin Whelton, Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Environment and Housing.

Forward Plan reference number: N/A

Contact Officer: Paul Atie, Tel: 020 8545 3337

Email: <mailto:paul.atie@merton.gov.uk>

Recommendations:

That the Cabinet Member considers the issues detailed in this report and

- A) Notes the result of the statutory consultation carried out between 6 and 28 September 2018 on the proposals to introduce a Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) to extend the existing GC2 to include Framfield Road.
- B) Notes and considers the representations received in respect of the proposals as detailed in Appendix 2.
- C) Agrees to proceed with making of the Traffic Management Orders (TMOs) and the inclusion of Framfield Road in existing CPZ GC2 that operates Monday to Friday between 8.30am and 6.30pm as shown in Drawing No. Z78-346-03 and attached in Appendix 1.
- D) Agrees to proceed with the making of the relevant Traffic Management Orders (TMOs) and the implementation of the 'At any time' waiting restrictions as shown in Drawing No. Z78-346-03 and attached in Appendix 1.
- E) Agrees to exercise his discretion not to hold a public inquiry on the consultation process.

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report presents the results of the statutory consultation carried out on the Councils' proposals to extend the existing CPZ (GC2) to include Framfield Road.
- 1.2 It seeks approval to implement the above recommendations.

2. DETAILS

- 2.1 Controlled parking zones aim to provide safe parking arrangements, whilst giving residents and businesses priority access to available kerbside parking space. It is a way of controlling the parking whilst improving and maintaining access and safety for all road users. A CPZ comprises of yellow line waiting restrictions and various types of parking bays operational during the controlled times. These types of bays include the following:

Permit holder bays: - For use by resident permit holders, business permit holders and those with visitor permits.

Pay and display shared use/permit holder bays: - For use by pay and display customers and permit holders.

- 2.3 A CPZ includes double yellow lines (no waiting 'At Any Time') restrictions at key locations such as at junctions, bends and along certain lengths of roads (passing gaps) where parking impedes the flow of traffic or would create an unacceptable safety risk e.g. obstructive sightlines or unsafe areas where pedestrians cross. These restrictions will improve access for emergency services; refuse vehicles and the overall safety for all road users, especially those pedestrians with disabilities and parents with prams. All existing double yellow lines at junctions will remain unchanged.
- 2.4 The CPZ design comprises of permit holder bays to be used by residents, their visitors or business permit holders. The layout of the parking bays are arranged in a manner that provides the maximum number of suitable parking spaces without jeopardising road safety and the free movement of traffic.
- 2.5 Within any proposed CPZ or review, the Council aims to reach a balance between the needs of the residents, businesses, visitors and all other users of the highway. It is normal practice to introduce appropriate measures if and when there is a sufficient majority of support or there is an overriding need to ensure access and safety. In addition, the Council would also take into account the impact of introducing the proposed changes in assessing the extent of those controls and whether or not they should be implemented.
- 2.6 Following a petition from the residents of Ashbourne Road, St Barnabas Road, Stanley Road and Framfield Road requesting the introduction of a Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) in their road due to inconsiderate and obstructive parking the Council carried out an informal consultation during Oct/Nov 2017.
- 2.7 The Informal consultation was carried out between 5 October and 10 November 2017 on the proposals to introduce a Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) GC2 to include Ashbourne Road, Carlingford Gardens, Edenvale Road, Figge's Road, Framfield Road, Gorrington Park Avenue (Between Bruce Road and Streatham), Heaton Road, Manship Road, Milton Road, St Barnabas Road, St James' Road, Stanley Road, Streatham Road (Between the Railway Bridge and Manship Road), Thirsk Road, Tynemouth Road, Uckfield Grove and Woodland Way as well as the associated waiting restrictions.

- 2.8 The results of the consultation along with officers' recommendations were presented in a report to the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Environment and Housing on 19 December 2017, after which the Cabinet Member approved the undertaking of the statutory consultation for the GC2 CPZ to operate Monday – Friday, between 8.30am and 6.30pm. For further details please refer to the report dated 18/12/2017 and titled proposed GC2 CPZ St Barnabas Area – Informal Consultation.

3. Statutory Consultation

- 3.1 The statutory consultation on the Council's intention to introduce the GC2 CPZ to include Ashbourne Road, Carlingford Gardens, Edenvale Road, Figge's Road, Framfield Road, Gorrington Park Avenue (Between Bruce Road and Streatham), Heaton Road, Manship Road, Milton Road, St Barnabas Road, St James' Road, Stanley Road, Streatham Road (Between the Railway Bridge and Manship Road), Thirsk Road, Tynemouth Road, Uckfield Grove and Woodland Way was carried out between 5 October and 10 November 2017.
- 3.2 The statutory consultation resulted in 47 representations which included 2 representations in support; 10 comments and 35 against the proposed CPZ mainly from those roads that were against the CPZ during the informal consultation asking to be removed from the scheme. The Council also received a petition (with 17 signatures) requesting that the proposed double yellow lines along one side of the Uckfield Grove be removed from the scheme. Details of these representations along with officer's comments can be found in the report dated 18/12/2017 and titled proposed GC2 CPZ St Barnabas Area – Informal Consultation.
- 3.4 The results of the statutory consultation along with officers' recommendation were presented in a report to the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Housing and Transport on the 27 July 2018. Based on the consultations results, officers recommended the exclusion of Manship Road, Framfield Road and Uckfield Grove from the CPZ. After careful consideration the Cabinet Member approved the making of the Traffic Management Order (TMO) and the implementation for the GC2 CPZ to operate Monday – Friday, between 8.30am and 6.30pm.

4.0 Framfield Road statutory consultation

- 4.1 Following the implementation of GC2 CPZ, the council received numerous complaints via email and phone calls from residents of Framfield Road regarding parking difficulties and this was followed by a petition requesting the Council to extend the existing GC2 CPZ to include Framfield Road. This necessitated a further statutory consultation.
- 4.2 The statutory consultation on the Council's intention to extend the existing GC2 CPZ to include Framfield Road was carried out between 6 and 28 September 2018. The consultation included the erection of street Notices on lamp columns in the vicinity of the proposals and the publication of the Council's intentions in the Local Guardian and the London Gazette. Consultation documents were available at the Link, Merton Civic Centre and on the Council's website. A newsletter with a plan, as shown in Appendix 1, was also distributed to all those properties included within the consultation area.

- 4.3 The newsletter detailed the following information:
- The undertaking of the statutory consultation
 - A plan detailing the following:
 - Zone operational hours (Monday to Friday between 8.30am and 6.30pm)
 - Double yellow lines operating “at any time” without loading restrictions
 - Permit holders only parking bays
 - Zone boundary
- 4.4 The statutory consultation resulted in 41 representations which included 40 representations in support and 1 objection. Details of these representations along with officer’s comments can be found in appendix 2.
- 4.5 The one representation from a household objecting to the proposal believes that there is no parking issues to be resolved by a CPZ and that majority of residents that responded to the previous consultation rejected it. In reality, however, the latest consultation resulted in overwhelming support as majority of the residents cannot gain access to on-street parking. This proposal aims at addressing this issue by prioritising parking for residents in this road by removing non-essential parking and prioritising parking spaces for residents; the residents will have a fair opportunity of finding a parking space within reasonable proximity to their homes. Also as permit holders, residents would be able to park in any road within GC2 CPZ.

Ward Councillor Comments

- 4.6 The local Ward Councillors have been fully engaged during the consultation process. Although the Ward Members have been advised of the outcome of the consultation and officer’s recommendations, at the time of writing this report, no comments have been received other than the agreement reached regarding issues detailed in section 4.5 of this report.

5. PROPOSED MEASURES

- 5.1 Based on the feedback received, it is recommended that the TMO is made to extend GC2 CPZ to include Framfield Road, operational Monday to Friday between 8.30m and 6.30pm as shown in Drawing No. Z78-346-1-01 and attached in Appendix 1.
- 5.2 It is recommended that the relevant Traffic Management Orders (TMOs) is made and the proposed ‘At any time’ waiting restrictions (as consulted) and as shown in Drawing No. Z78-346-1-01 and attached in Appendix 1 are implemented.
- 5.3 Permit issue criteria
It is proposed that the residents’ permit parking provision should be identical to that offered in other controlled parking zones in Merton at the time of consultation. The cost of the first permit in each household is £65 per annum; the second permit is £110 and the third permit cost is £140. An annual Visitor permit cost is £140.
- 5.3.1 In November 2016, the Council introduced a Diesel Levy to all those permit holders with a diesel vehicle. Permit holders will be advised accordingly when making their permit application. Those residents with all-electric vehicles will only have to pay a reduced rate of £25 instead of £65.
- 5.4 Visitors’ permits

Half-day permits at £1.50. Half-day permits can be used between 11am and 3pm. The allowance of visitor permits per adult in a household shall be 100 half-day permits.

5.5 Trades permits

Trade Permits are priced at £900 per annum. Trades permits can also be purchased for 6 months at £600, 3 months at £375, 1 month at £150 and Weekly at £50.

6. TIMETABLE

- 6.1 If agreed, the TMO will be made soon after the publication of the Cabinet Member's decision and be implemented 6-10 weeks after the Order is made.

7. FINANCIAL RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 The cost of implementing the proposed measures is estimated at £7k. This includes the publication of the Made Traffic Management Orders, the road markings and signs.
- 7.2 The cost of this proposal can be met from the Environment and Regeneration revenue budget for 2018/19 which contains a provisional budget for Parking Management schemes.

8. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 8.1 Do nothing. This would not address the current parking demands of the residents in respect of their views expressed in the petition and during the consultation. It will also do nothing to ensure that safety and access can be maintained all times.

9. LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 The Traffic Management Orders would be made under Section 6 and Section 45 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (as amended). The Council is required by the Local Authorities Traffic Order (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 to give notice of its intention to make a Traffic Order (by publishing a draft traffic order). These regulations also require the Council to consider any representations received as a result of publishing the draft order.
- 9.2 The Council has discretion as to whether or not to hold a public inquiry before deciding whether or not to make a traffic management order or to modify the published draft order. A public inquiry should be held where it would provide further information, which would assist the Council in reaching a decision.
- 9.3 The Council's powers to make Traffic Management Orders arise mainly under sections 6, 45, 46, 122 and 124 and schedules 1 and 9 of the RTRA 1984.

10. HUMAN RIGHTS & EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHENSION IMPLICATIONS

- 11.1 The implementation of new CPZs and the subsequent changes to the original design affects all sections of the community especially the young and the elderly and assists in improving safety for all road users and achieves the transport planning policies of the government, the Mayor for London and the Borough.
- 10.2 By maintaining clear junctions, access and sightlines will improve, thereby improving the safety at junctions by reducing potential accidents thereby meeting the Mayor's Vision zero for casualties.

- 10.3 The Council carries out careful consultation to ensure that all road users are given a fair opportunity to air their views and express their needs. The design of the scheme includes special consideration for the needs of people with blue badges, local residents, businesses without any prejudice toward charitable and religious facilities. The needs of commuters are also given consideration but generally carry less weight than those of residents and local businesses.
- 10.4 Bodies representing motorists, including commuters are included in the statutory consultation required for draft traffic management and similar orders published in the local paper and London Gazette.

11. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

- 11.1 N/A

12. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

- 12.1 The risk of not introducing the proposed parking arrangements is that the existing parking difficulties will continue and it would do nothing to assist the residents. It will also do nothing to address the obstructive parking that has been identified.
- 12.2 The proposed measures may cause some dissatisfaction from those who have requested status quo or other changes that cannot be implemented but it is considered that the benefits of introducing the measures outweigh the risk of doing nothing.

13. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

- 13.1 When determining the type of parking places are to be designated on the highway, section 45(3) requires the Council to consider both the interests of traffic and those of the owners and occupiers of adjoining properties. In particular, the Council must have regard to: (a) the need for maintaining the free movement of traffic, (b) the need for maintaining reasonable access to premises, and (c) the extent to which off-street parking is available in the neighbourhood or if the provision of such parking is likely to be encouraged by designating paying parking places on the highway.
- 13.2 By virtue of section 122, the Council must exercise its powers under the RTRA 1984 so as to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic including pedestrians, and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway. These powers must be exercised so far as practicable having regard to the following matters:-
- (a) the desirability of securing and maintaining reasonable access to premises.
 - (b) the effect on the amenities of any locality affected including the regulation and restriction of heavy commercial traffic so as to preserve or improve amenity.
 - (c) the national air quality strategy.
 - (d) facilitating the passage of public service vehicles and securing the safety and convenience of their passengers.
 - (e) any other matters appearing to the Council to be relevant.

14. APPENDICES


The following documents are to be published with this report and form part of the report.

Appendix 1 – Statutory consultation document & Drawing No. Z78-346-1-01

Appendix 2 – representation and officers comment

15. BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 15.1 Report dated 18/12/2017 titled proposed GC2 CPZ St Barnabas Area – Informal Consultation.
- 15.2 Reports dated 27/07/2018 and titled proposed GC2 CPZ St Barnabas Area – Informal Consultation



Appendix 2

Representations and Officer's Comments

Representation - Support
<p>002,004, 005,21</p> <p>I and many of my neighbours in Framfield Road are extremely and absolutely delighted that we are getting a (CPZ) in our road. Since the other roads in Graveney Ward got the (CPZ) Framfield Road has been even further congested during the day by vehicles that get parked by their owners whilst they then use bus and rail to commute to their places of work and make parking for residents very difficult. I would also like to suggest that when the (Wheelie Bins) are introduced it will be a less than an easy task to wheel them from the houses to the refuse and recycle trucks without consuming more of the operatives time, or indeed possible damage that may be caused to parked vehicles because of the very small spaces between them. I and the majority of the people that I have spoken to in Framfield Road are hoping that the (CPZ) will be put into place sooner rather than later, in view of the ever increasing congestion.</p>
<p>003</p> <p>Following a letter re the CPZ GC2 I am sending this email to give my full support to including Framfield Road in this scheme. The parking in our road has become impossible since the commuters and non-permit holders from St James Road have resorted to leaving their cars here all day, and tensions are running very high.</p>
<p>006</p> <p>I am in favour of the ES/GC2 controlled parking zone extension.</p>
<p>007</p> <p>I'm writing to you as a resident of Framfield Road in regards to proposed CPZ. At the moment we have nowhere to park as all vans and lorries as well as private cars from around the neighbourhood are parking on our road. Some neighbours actually have system of parking their cars on two spaces so their friends have space when coming back from work. As am 8 month pregnant mum of 19 month old, I find it incredibly difficult not to be able to park the car near my house. Both, my husband and I are for CPZ to be installed on our road as soon as possible. If you require any more information from us please don't hesitate to contact me.</p>
<p>008</p> <p>I am writing you to strongly support and welcome the possibility that the CPZ is going to be introduced to Framfield Road, CR4 2AL. Myself, along with nearly the rest of the street have been suffering tremendously because of the sheer volume of cars parking on our road. We hope this is the first steep in improving the parking situation for the residents of the street. The stricter you can make the rules the better in my opinion.</p> <p>Also the voice of a couple of residents with fleets of cars and vans parking here should be ignored and restricted as much as possible to the relief of the rest of the street</p>
<p>009</p> <p>We're writing in favour of the planned extension of the Controlled Parking Zone to include Framfield road. (CPZ)GC2ext. As a resident of Framfield road we welcome the extension for the below reasons.</p> <p>Since the introduction of the CPZ GC2 in the area Framfield road has become inundated with other residents from the area and commuters parking their vehicles to the extent that we are unable to park outside or near our house.</p> <p>We have a child who has Down Syndrome and crohn's disease. On occasions we need to get him into the house urgently for his ablutions but this is becoming a problem with the added vehicles parking in Framfield road, we are having to drop him off outside the house so he is able to use the house ablutions but with him having down Syndrome and needing adult supervision it is becoming more and more difficult.</p> <p>We have applied for a blue badge to aid us in getting parking close to our residents so we are able to access and egress our vehicle should the need arise due to his conditions. Our application for a blue badge was refused twice and now with the add amount of Vehicles in Framfield road the situation is becoming unbearable.</p> <p>We would like to get clarification on the letter sent on the 6th September. It was made aware to us that this extension may not go ahead as only three people had replied to the letter in favor of the extension. we are aware that 87 residence in Framfield road signed a petition in favor of the extension because of the lack of parking.</p> <p>In the letter it states you only need to reply if you are against the extension. It states in the letter dated the 6th September "We would welcome representations in support"</p> <p>In Conclusion we welcome the extension of CPZ GC2 to include Framfield road and to readdress our application for a blue badge for our son.</p> <p>If you have any queries or wish to discuss the matter further please don't hesitate to contact us.</p>
<p>010</p>

I approve of the proposed CPZ zone including Framfield Rd
<p>011</p> <p>I am contacting you concerning the terrible state that the recently implemented parking restrictions in my area have left Framfield Road in.</p> <p>I quite understand that the original response to your notification was so poor that you omitted it in your plan. But the result has been so awful that I don't understand why you are needing more verification than our recent petition.</p> <p>I have lived in the road for 65 years and of course seen many changes but the present situation leaves me with very elderly friends frightened to visit as they can't walk far and not knowing where they would be able to park owing to all the extra cars and vans now being left in our road on a daily basis. This also applies to my family if I have to phone them suddenly for help at any time.</p> <p>I would have contacted you before if I had known that personal contact was also needed.</p>
<p>012</p> <p>I am writing to confirm and agree with the permit parking scheme (CPZ) Framfield Road.</p>
<p>013</p> <p>I want confirm I agreed a permit on my road -framfield</p>
<p>014</p> <p>I received the letter for the proposed CPZ and I am supporting the restrictions.</p> <p>At the moment parking is ridiculous, I hate having to drive anywhere but with 3 small children during after school activities I have to drive, and when I get home there is no parking. (I only have 1 car)</p> <p>We have a large amount of vans who park on the road, commuters I see walking to the station from our road, taxi drivers etc. There have been countless arguments and not happy that my children have to witness this. I drove off Monday afternoon and 3 cars were fighting for my space. I could go on with examples. I have lived on this road my whole life and its ended up like this.</p> <p>The petition was all completed and I hope this will be enough for the CPZ to go ahead.</p> <p>It took a while to gather the signatures for various reasons. So I hope that the residents do not have to email in favour of the CPZ has the signed petition should be satisfactory.</p> <p>Many Thanks</p>
<p>015</p> <p>Yes we do want controlled parking in Framfield Road ref no ES/GC2ex.</p>
<p>016</p> <p>I'm a resident of Framfield Road in Mitcham, Surrey.</p> <p>I write this email in support of having a Controlled Parking Zone on our Road.</p> <p>Many Thanks,</p>
<p>017</p> <p>I agree to the proposed residential parking in Framfield Road</p>
<p>018</p> <p>We, the inhabitants of Framfield Road, Mitcham Surrey wish to express our support of the Controlled Parking Zone Scheme.</p>
<p>019</p> <p>I am writing to express my strong support for the extension of the Controlled Parking Zone to include Framfield Road. (Reference ES/GC2ex).</p> <p>I am a resident of Framfield Road, and since the introduction of the CPZ to the streets around us, an already congested street has become completely impossible to park on. There is now often no parking available for residents / visitors at all because the street is always full, and people are regularly encroaching on crossovers.</p> <p>My assessment as a resident is that only an extension of the CPZ will make parking on the street manageable again.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p>
<p>020</p> <p>This is to confirm that i am in support of the proposed CPZ in Framfield.</p> <p>Please be aware that there are people in the street who have sign the petition in favour of CPZ.</p> <p>But because of the way its been worded " we would also welcome representations in support " (very vague), are unaware that they have to respond to the letter dated on the 6th of September,2018.</p>

022
As a long time resident of Framfield Road, I write in support of the proposal for a Controlled Parking Zone in this road. Although I am not a car-owner, I have recognised the difficulties of neighbours in being able to park near their homes for a long period of time. The difference that has been made in nearby streets since they have been made Controlled Parking Zones has been very noticeable. I therefore hope that Framfield Road can join them in this very important matter.
023
I am writing to confirm and agree with the permit parking scheme (CPZ)Framfeild Road. Many thanks.
024
With reference to the public consultation in control parking in Framfield Road, Mitcham. I would like to inform that I am very much in favour to have a control parking for above road as residents finds really difficulty to find a parking place. I would like to add my suggestion to have the control time from 8am to 10pm.
025
I am writing to above ref to show my support for getting parking permit. Its has been a struggle for all the residence on this road to find parking and there has been several disputes since. We do really need a permit for our road.
026
I would like for my road to have controlled parking
027
After a petition being submitted to Merton Council with a very large portion of people in favour of introducing parking permits it would appear that you are not convinced that this is what the residents require. I live at 21 Framfield I have a crossover but unfortunately because commuters are now parking in the road there is total chaos they even park across my crossover not totally but not leaving me enough space to come out of my property and turn into the road. Therefore I am total in favour of parking permit being introduced in the road.
028
I am a resident of Framfield road ,Mitcham ,CR4 I would like to express my SUPPORT to Controlled Parking Zone that you going to introduce to our road.
029
I support the CPZ to include Framfield Road, Mitcham, CR4
030
I support the Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) for Framfield Road and do not any objection.
031
Agree to CPZ Thanks
032
I wish the proposed CPZ for Framfield Road Mitcham, to go ahead please.
033
We are the residents of Framfield Road CR42AW. The CPZ is being considered in our residential road and we would like to respond and take a vote. We fully support the scheme and do implement into council consultation. Currently on our road there are no parking spaces from early morning until evening. That's a huge inconvenience for all residents the road is so busy that you need to spend about 30 min to get the parking space if you are lucky. Thank you and we hope the CPZ will be successful.
033
I live in Framfield Rd and I fully support the introduction of CPZ as soon as possible
034,35
I have received your information leaflet with regards to the above CPZ proposal and although it clearly states we only have to respond if we object to it !!! I am responding to state very clearly that I very much am in favour of it. My agreement is because it is becoming impossible to park in my own road and when I can't park there is nowhere else to park between the hours of 8.30 and 6.30 and I am not allowed to buy a permit so I can park in any of our adjoining roads. I hope this situation can be resolved as soon as possible.
036
I am writing to confirm and agree with the permit parking scheme (ES/GC2ex)Framfield Road.
037
Further to information on the proposed controlled parking zone for Framfield Road please find attached amended plan showing areas that should not be marked as dropped kerbs. I have lived in Framfield Road for over 30 years and in that time these areas have never been used for access to properties in St James Road. At this stage I think you have an opportunity to reinstate the pavement and remove the

<p>dropped kerbs on the basis that parking is going to be severely restricted in Framfield Road to accommodate residents of St James Road who have never accessed their properties from Framfield Road.</p> <p>I support the implementation of the CPZ but with the attached marked parking bays implemented as they should not be dropped kerbs.</p>
<p>038</p> <p>We live in Framfield Road and we support the new CPZ scheme to be extended onto our road. My wife works night shifts and she comes home at 2am to find no parking</p>
<p>039</p> <p>I am writing this email to show my support for having parking permit bays.</p> <p>we have a serious parking problem in the area and it will be a good idea to get this done asap.</p>
<p>040</p> <p>Most of the Framfield Road Mitcham residents were pleased to read your notice re the extension of the CPZ. Would you advise if residents have to complete any forms for this CPZ to go ahead. If so if you want to send a number of forms to me I will deliver them to local residents. We have a problem of some not understanding English. Thank you for all your assistance on this matter. Regards.</p>
<p>043</p> <p>I live in Framfield Road and am sending this email to support the introduction of a CPZ in Framfield Road.</p>
<p>Representation Against</p>
<p>001</p> <p>I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed parking restrictions suggested for Framfield Road where parking permits are planned to be introduced. Following the last consultation, a majority of residents rejected the proposal and now a small number of residents have now enlisted a second round of consultation due to increased parking on this road from other residents in the area.</p> <p>The cost of parking charges is disproportionate to the emissions of vehicles particularly in regard to diesel levy charge for vehicles where a blanket additional charge is made without regard to impact for this charge (some petrol cars emit higher CO2 pollution than newer diesel cars).</p> <p>There is indicator of increased charges without consideration of the rate of inflation for each year therefore the council cannot consider increasing rates in advance.</p> <p>The length of time for parking would also be considered unreasonable. An 8:30 to 6:30 CPZ means increased revenue and burden for residents where no justification is outlined for the long period of time for the CPZ. Any CPZ would prevent long term parking by non-residents and has and will continue to increase congestion around the local schools and services in the area where double parking will become common. There is no reason why a 9:30 to 4:30pm restriction would not be more supportive to local residents.</p>

Officers general Comment

CPZ's was considered in Framfield Road again as a direct request (petition) made by residents in the road who are experiencing parking difficulties. The statutory consultation concluded overwhelming support from majority of the residents. Framfield Rd is an extension to an existing CPZ and therefore must be subject to the same hours / days of operation. The period of operation was selected by the majority of those who responded during the informal consultation.

In accordance with the Greater London Council (General Powers) Act 1974 & DDA, parking on any part of a footway is illegal; although there are occasions where provided there is sufficient footway width (minimum 1.5m) parking on footway can be permitted via an Exemption Order. This exemption, however, does not apply where the footway is not wide enough as is the case here. Within any parking management, every effort is made to maximise parking but as long as access and safety is not compromised.

By virtue of section 122, the Council must exercise its powers under the RTRA 1984 so as to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic including pedestrians therefore, access for all road users take priority over parking.

Proposed Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) GC2ext - Framfield Road.



ISSUE DATE : 6 SEPTEMBER 2018

Dear Resident,

The purpose of this leaflet is to advise you that following letters, emails and a petition received from some residents of your road complaining about parking difficulties, the Council is now carrying out a statutory consultation on its intention to extend the existing Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) to include Framfield Road. This will be an extension to the existing GC2 CPZ in Mitcham. The controls will operate Monday to Friday between 8.30am and 6.30 pm.

HOW WILL IT WORK?

All road space in a CPZ is managed by the introduction of parking controls. Parking is only permitted where safety, access and sight lines are not compromised. It is, therefore, normal practice to introduce double yellow lines at key locations such as at junctions, bends, turning heads and at specific locations along lengths of roads where parking would impede the passing of vehicles. It is also necessary to provide yellow lines (effective during the CPZ hours of operation) or "At any time" restriction where the kerb is lowered, i.e. at crossovers for driveways.

The key objective of managing parking is to reduce and control non-essential parking and assist residents, short-term visitors and the local businesses. Within any CPZ, only those within the zone are entitled to permits. An incremental pricing structure for 2nd and subsequent permits also assists in minimising the number of permits issued to individual residents and help discourage multiple car ownerships. CPZs comprise of various types of parking bays such as permit holder bays (for use by resident or business permit holders and those with visitor permits); shared use bays (for permit holders and pay and display) and pay and

display only bays (permits are not valid).

Council appointed Civil Enforcement Officers will enforce the controls by issuing Penalty Charge Notices (PCNs) to vehicles parked in contravention of the restrictions. Outside the controlled times the restrictions are not enforced. However, Civil Enforcement Officers will issue PCNs for any other parking contravention such as parking on double yellow lines, footways and parking across individual crossovers without the property owner's consent.

In the event that the majority of those consulted do not support a CPZ in your road officers will recommend that only the proposed double yellow lines identified at key locations are introduced to improve safety and maintain access. This would be subject to the approval of the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Housing and Transport.

WHAT HAPPENS NEXT

Notice of the Council's intention to introduce the above measures will be published in a local newspaper (The Guardian), London Gazette and posted on lamp columns in the vicinity. Representations against the proposals described in this Notice must be made in writing or email trafficandhighways@merton.gov.uk by no later than **28 September 2018** quoting reference **ES/GC2ex**. Objections must relate only to the elements of the scheme that are subject to this statutory consultation. We would also welcome representations in support.

A copy of the proposed Traffic Management Orders (TMOs), a plan identifying the areas affected by the proposals and the Council's Statement of Reasons can be inspected at

Merton Link, Merton Civic Centre, London Road, Morden, Surrey, SM4 5DX during the Council's normal office hours Monday to Friday, 9am to 5pm or at Mitcham Library. This information is also available on Merton Council's website www.merton.gov.uk/cpzgc2ex.

All representations along with Officers' comments and recommendations will be presented in a report to the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Housing and Transport. **Please note that responses to any representations received will not be made until a final decision is made by the Cabinet Member.**

The Council is required to give weight to the nature and content of your representations and not necessarily the quantity. Your reasons are, therefore, important to us.

Further information on how CPZs work, details of permit costs can be found in our Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ's) at www.merton.gov.uk/cpzgc2ex. **It is essential that you view these documents prior to making your representation.**

FIGGE'S MARSH WARD COUNCILLORS

Cllr Agatha M Akyigyina OBE

Phone 020 8545 3424,

Email: agatha.akyigyina@merton.gov.uk

Cllr Mike Brunt

Phone: 0208 545 3424,

Email: mike.brunt@merton.gov.uk

Cllr Geraldine Stanford

Phone: 020 8715 6667,

Email: geraldine.stanford@merton.gov.uk

Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Housing and Transport.

Cllr Martin Whelton

Phone: 020 8545 3425

Email: martin.whelton@merton.gov.uk

(The contact details of Ward Councillors are provided for information purposes only)

Merton Council - call-in request form

1. Decision to be called in: (required)

--

2. Which of the principles of decision making in Article 13 of the constitution has not been applied? (required)

Required by part 4E Section 16(c)(a)(ii) of the constitution - tick all that apply:

(a) proportionality (i.e. the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome);	
(b) due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers;	
(c) respect for human rights and equalities;	
(d) a presumption in favour of openness;	
(e) clarity of aims and desired outcomes;	
(f) consideration and evaluation of alternatives;	
(g) irrelevant matters must be ignored.	

3. Desired outcome

Part 4E Section 16(f) of the constitution- select one:

(a) The Panel/Commission to refer the decision back to the decision making person or body for reconsideration, setting out in writing the nature of its concerns.	
(b) To refer the matter to full Council where the Commission/Panel determines that the decision is contrary to the Policy and/or Budget Framework	
(c) The Panel/Commission to decide not to refer the matter back to the decision making person or body *	
* If you select (c) please explain the purpose of calling in the decision.	

4. Evidence which demonstrates the alleged breach(es) indicated in 2 above (required)

Required by part 4E Section 16(c)(a)(ii) of the constitution:

5. Documents requested

6. Witnesses requested

7. Signed (not required if sent by email):

8. Notes – see part 4E section 16 of the constitution

Call-ins must be supported by at least three members of the Council.

The call in form and supporting requests must be received by 12 Noon on the third working day following the publication of the decision.

The form and/or supporting requests must be sent:

- **EITHER** by email from a Councillor's email account (no signature required) to democratic.services@merton.gov.uk
- **OR** as a signed paper copy to the Head of Democracy Services, 7th floor, Civic Centre, London Road, Morden SM4 5DX.

For further information or advice contact the Head of Democracy Services on
020 8545 3864